

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/786,597	02/28/2001	Kenichi Hirahara	KAM/133/PC/U 1408		
2543	7590 07/16/2003				
	E & RISTAS LLP	EXAMINER			
750 MAIN S SUITE 1400			DINH, TUAN T		
HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/786,597		HIRAHARA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tuan T Dinh	1	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠							
2a)⊠ —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
44) 🗆 :	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
		ammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinji (JP 05-348,038 A submitted by applicant, hereafter as JP)

As to claims 1 and 3, JP discloses a through hole conduction structure of a flexible multilayer circuit board (92-figure 1, see an attached paper) and a method for forming a through hole conduction structure of a flexible multiplayer circuit board as shown in figures 1-17, and also, see an attached paper on a back of an office action, comprising:

an internal layer circuit board (55) having a wiring pattern (125; 126) and an external layer circuit board (111-figures 1, 8-11) laminated on one side or both sides of said internal layer circuit board;

a through hole (10) plated conduction portion (50) formed of said internal layer circuit board (55) and said external layer circuit board (111),

a surface protection layer (129) formed on an external surface of a wiring pattern (125) of said internal layer circuit board (55), said surface protection layer (129) having a retreated portion which is outwardly retreated from the outside edge of the through hole (10).

Application/Control Number: 09/786,597 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinji (JP) in view of Yanagi et al. (JP 06-085,406 A, hereafter Yanagi).

JP does not disclose the surface protection layer, which is a cover film consisting of polyimide resin.

Yanagi shows a flexible printed wiring board comprising a polyimide protection layer (6A; 6B) disclosed in figures 1-2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a protection layer made of polyimide material as taught by Yanagi to employ the flexible printed wiring board of JP in order to provide an excellent thermal expansion on a plated through hole and achieve a flexure of the flexible circuit board.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner disagrees.

Shinji (JP) reference clearly shows in figures 1, 10-11, and 15-17 that the protection layer (129) having a retreated portion (a region that separated the plated through hole (50) not contact to the protection layer (129)), which is outwardly retreated, from the outside edge of the trough hole (10).

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Endoh et al. disclose related art.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/786,597

Art Unit: 2827

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

**TD** July 02, 2003.

DAVID E. GRANDER PRIMARY EXAMINER